

AD-A280 954

E201 995

Public reporting burden
gathering and maintain
collection of information
Davis Highway, Suite 12



GE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

pose, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this
Matters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson
Ave., Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE (Leave Blank)

2. REPORT DATE

May 18, 1994

3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED

Reprint

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE

**Ion chemistry of ClONO₂ involving NO₃⁻ core ions: A detection
scheme for ClONO₂ in the atmosphere**

6. AUTHOR(S)

A.A. Viggiano, Robert A. Morris, Jane M. Van Doren*

5. FUNDING NUMBERS

61102F

P 2303

T GJ

WU01

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

Phillips Lab/GPID
29 Randolph Road
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
REPORT NUMBER

PL-TR-94-2137

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)

Phillips Lab/GPID

29 Randolph Road

Hanscom AFB, MA 01731-3010

10. SPONSORING/MONITORING
AGENCY REPORT NUMBER

11. SOURCE CITED: *Dept of Chemistry, College of the Holy Cross, Worcester, MA
Reprinted from Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol. 99, No. D4, pages 8221-8224,
April 20, 1994

12a. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)

Abstract. Rate constants and product branching ratios for reactions involving atmospherically interesting ions and ClONO₂ have been measured. H₃O⁺ reacts rapidly with ClONO₂, but hydrates of H₃O⁺ do not. This implies that ClONO₂ does not play a central role in the positive ion chemistry of the atmosphere. CO₃⁻ reacts with ClONO₂ to form NO₃⁻. Both NO₃⁻ and NO₃⁻(H₂O) react with ClONO₂ to form NO₃⁻(ClONO₂). H₂O does not react with NO₃⁻(ClONO₂), which is essential to the proposed in situ measurement technique. NO₃⁻(ClONO₂) does react with HNO₃ and HCl, producing NO₃⁻(HNO₃) in both cases. The reaction of NO₃⁻(HCl) with ClONO₂ also produces NO₃⁻(HNO₃). These latter two efficient reactions, which are discussed in detail in a separate publication, are analogous to the efficient neutral heterogeneous reaction of HCl with ClONO₂ which is important in the chemistry of the Antarctic stratosphere. A detection scheme is presented for atmospheric ClONO₂ based on the reactions studied and utilizing mass spectrometry. A ClONO₂ detection limit of 10⁷ molecules cm⁻³ is estimated based on the operating characteristics of current ion-molecule based mass spectrometric field instruments.

14. SUBJECT TERMS

Chlorine nitrate, Atmosphere, Ion chemistry, Atmosphere

15. NUMBER OF PAGES

4

16. PRICE CODE

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF REPORT
UNCLASSIFIED

18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF THIS PAGE
UNCLASSIFIED

19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
OF ABSTRACT
UNCLASSIFIED

20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
SAR

Ion chemistry of ClONO₂ involving NO₃⁻ core ions: A detection scheme for ClONO₂ in the atmosphere

A. A. Viggiano and Robert A. Morris

Geophysics Directorate, Ionospheric Effects Division, Hanscom Air Force Base, Massachusetts

Jane M. Van Doren

Department of Chemistry, College of the Holy Cross, Worcester, Massachusetts

Abstract. Rate constants and product branching ratios for reactions involving atmospherically interesting ions and ClONO₂ have been measured. H₃O⁺ reacts rapidly with ClONO₂, but hydrates of H₃O⁺ do not. This implies that ClONO₂ does not play a central role in the positive ion chemistry of the atmosphere. CO₃⁻ reacts with ClONO₂ to form NO₃⁻. Both NO₃⁻ and NO₃⁻(H₂O) react with ClONO₂ to form NO₃⁻(ClONO₂). H₂O does not react with NO₃⁻(ClONO₂), which is essential to the proposed in situ measurement technique. NO₃⁻(ClONO₂) does react with HNO₃ and HCl, producing NO₃⁻(HNO₃) in both cases. The reaction of NO₃⁻(HCl) with ClONO₂ also produces NO₃⁻(HNO₃). These latter two efficient reactions, which are discussed in detail in a separate publication, are analogous to the efficient neutral heterogeneous reaction of HCl with ClONO₂ which is important in the chemistry of the Antarctic stratosphere. A detection scheme is presented for atmospheric ClONO₂ based on the reactions studied and utilizing mass spectrometry. A ClONO₂ detection limit of 10⁷ molecules cm⁻³ is estimated based on the operating characteristics of current ion-molecule based mass spectrometric field instruments.

Introduction

The details of the chemical cycling of inorganic chlorine species and nitrogen oxide species (NO_x) in the stratosphere are critical to our understanding of stratospheric ozone chemistry. In the presence of polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs), the heterogeneous reactions of the chlorine reservoir species ClONO₂, HCl, and HOCl chemically transform these long-lived species into photoactive species which can lead to rapid and catalytic destruction of ozone. In the midlatitude stratosphere and in the absence of PSCs, the combination of photochemical life cycles of these species results in a relatively stable ozone concentration. The chemical life cycle of chlorine nitrate is especially important to the chemistry of the stratosphere because it is a member of both the inorganic chlorine and NO_x families. As such, chlorine nitrate couples these two important chemical families. Understanding the complex chemistry and changing concentration of chlorine nitrate is critical to a complete understanding of the chemistry of the atmosphere.

Despite the importance of chlorine nitrate to the chemistry of the stratosphere, few in situ measurements of its concentration in the atmosphere have been made [Rinsland *et al.*, 1985; Raper *et al.*, 1987; Toon *et al.*, 1993]. Current measurements include column abundance determinations, where a vertical profile is estimated from the spectral line shape [Toon *et al.*, 1993]. Detailed models of stratospheric chemistry, however, do not generally use these values of ClONO₂ but rather deduce the concentration of ClONO₂ from measurements of related species [Salawitch *et al.*, 1993]. Many

models assume that total inorganic chlorine is essentially divided between HCl and ClONO₂ (in the absence of PSCs) and therefore calculate the concentration of ClONO₂ from the difference between the total inorganic chlorine concentration, derived from N₂O measurements, and the measured HCl concentration [Salawitch *et al.*, 1993; Webster *et al.*, 1993]. Recently, Webster *et al.* [1993] made the first in situ spectroscopic determinations of the HCl concentration in the stratosphere. Their values, measured outside of the polar vortices, differ from previous determinations by as much as a factor of 2 [Raper *et al.*, 1987; Webster *et al.*, 1993]. If total inorganic chlorine is partitioned between HCl and ClONO₂, their measurements indicate that there is a corresponding error in the accepted ClONO₂ values [Rodriguez, 1993; Webster *et al.*, 1993]. The discrepancies between these different determinations represent significant differences in our overall understanding of the chemistry of the stratosphere. A direct in situ measurement of the concentration of ClONO₂ in the stratosphere would contribute significantly to a resolution of these discrepancies.

In situ ion composition measurements have proven to be a valuable tool in determining atmospheric concentrations of trace neutral species when combined with the knowledge of the chemistry and rate constants involved. Determination of concentrations of naturally abundant atmospheric species using ion chemistry has been developed mainly by the research groups of Arnold and Eisele, and their work has recently been reviewed by Viggiano [1993]. Detection of neutral species can be achieved by measurements of ambient atmospheric ions or, in a more elaborate apparatus, by measurements of product ions formed from reaction with ions produced in an active ion source. These mass spectrometric techniques combine the selectivity of ion-molecule

Copyright 1994 by the American Geophysical Union.

Paper number 94JD00425.
0148-0227/94/94JD-00425\$05.00

94-16113

chemistry with the sensitivity of mass spectrometry to yield a very powerful detection technique for neutral species abundant in only trace amounts. Measurements of a number of species in the parts per quadrillion range have been made. Negative ion composition measurements have been very successful in determining the concentrations of NO_x and HNO_x species [Arnold *et al.*, 1992; Viggiano, 1993]. However, no detection scheme has been successfully devised previously for CIONO₂. In this paper we report kinetics measurements involving NO₃⁻ core ions and CIONO₂, the latter species as both a ligand and a neutral reactant. We believe these data will make *in situ* determinations of the atmospheric CIONO₂ concentration possible using currently available mass spectrometric ion detection field instruments.

Experiment

The measurements were made using the Phillips Laboratory variable temperature-selected ion flow drift tube apparatus [Viggiano *et al.*, 1990]. Instruments of this type have been the subject of review [Smith and Adams, 1988], and only those aspects important to the present study will be discussed in detail. Ions were created by electron impact in a high-pressure ion source (~0.1–1 torr). The ions were extracted from the source, mass selected in a quadrupole mass filter, and injected into a flow tube. Buffer gas was added through a Venturi inlet surrounding the ion injection orifice and transported the ions along the length of the flow tube. The Venturi inlet aids in injecting the ions at low energy, critical to the present experiments. Downstream, after the ions have had sufficient collisions with the buffer to thermalize, the neutral reactant is added. At the end of the flow tube, a small fraction of the ions are sampled through a 0.2-mm hole in a nose cone. The ions sampled are mass analyzed in a second quadrupole mass spectrometer and detected by a channel electron multiplier. Flow rates of the buffer and of the reactant gas were controlled and measured by MKS flow controllers. The entire flow tube could be heated or cooled. As will be explained below, cooling was necessary to perform some of the experiments presented. Rate constants were determined from the decay of the primary ion signal as a function of added neutral flow.

The weakly bonded cluster ions used in the present experiments required mild conditions to minimize both collisional breakup upon injection and thermal dissociation in the flow tube. NO₃⁻ and NO₃⁻(HNO₃) were made from NO₂ and a several percent HNO₃ impurity in our NO₂ sample. NO₃⁻(HNO₃) is a strongly bonded cluster ion, and no special conditions were needed for working with it except low-injection energy. In contrast, it was quite difficult to form, inject, and detect a sufficient concentration of NO₃⁻(H₂O). This ion was made from a mixture of NO₂ and H₂O. Our problems were traced to three sources: (1) instability of the ion in the ion source, (2) breakup of the ion upon injection and (3) thermal dissociation in the flow tube. First attempts at injecting NO₃⁻(H₂O) into a He buffer at room temperature proved unsuccessful. We observed mainly NO₃⁻ ion signal and a small mass 80 signal which we later identified as SO₃⁻ (formed from impurities in the source from previous experiments) and not NO₃⁻(H₂O). In order to make a sufficient quantity of NO₃⁻(H₂O) we needed both to cool the system and to use a H₂ buffer. The cooling was necessary to prevent thermal dissociation in the buffer gas, which is a problem at

room temperature. This is based on the knowledge of the known association rate constant [Ikezoe *et al.*, 1987] and thermodynamics [Keesee and Castleman, Jr., 1986] through $K = k_f/k_r$. The use of a H₂ buffer lowers the center of mass energy upon injection for the same laboratory frame energy [Viggiano, 1984]. Even with these steps, about equal parts of NO₃⁻ and NO₃⁻(H₂O) were initially present in the flow tube. The presence of both these ions does not affect our rate constant measurements. Both of these ions produce only NO₃⁻(CIONO₂), and therefore product determination also was unaffected. NO₃⁻(CIONO₂) was made by adding NO₂ and CIONO₂ to the source. Creation, injection, and detection of NO₃⁻(CIONO₂) was easier than NO₃⁻(H₂O) but more difficult than NO₃⁻(HNO₃), reflecting the intermediate cluster bond strength of NO₃⁻(CIONO₂). H₃O⁺(H₂O)_n ions were made from H₂O, and CO₃⁻ was formed from CO₂.

The CIONO₂ was synthesized from ClF and HNO₃ by the method of Shack [1967] with some modification [Lloyd, 1993] and stored at 200 K. During the experiments, the CIONO₂ was heated to 233 K, and the vapor was added to the flow tube through a mass flow controller. At this temperature, little of the HNO₃ impurity present in the sample should be volatile. The flow rate of CIONO₂ was calibrated by using the heat capacity given by Miller *et al.* [1967]. In initial experiments the CIONO₂ sample was found to contain a large amount of HNO₃. After flowing the CIONO₂ for a time period of the order of an hour or two, the HNO₃ impurity was greatly reduced, presumably through conditioning of the inlet lines; that is, water on the inlet line surfaces had reacted away. The experiments required the use of a large amount of CIONO₂ which limited the number of reactions studied to date because of limited sample quantity, and further studies are planned. We estimate the uncertainty in our rate constants to be $\pm 30\%$ and the relative error to be 20%, slightly higher than our usual error limits.

Results

Table 1 lists the reactions studied and the temperatures at which the rate constants were measured. As explained in the experimental section, different ions were best studied at different temperatures. The limited amount of CIONO₂ sample we could obtain prevented us from making extensive temperature dependence measurements. Temperature dependence studies are planned for the future.

The group of reactions listed in Table 1 was chosen for the potential importance in the atmosphere both in terms of the chemistry of ambient ions and for detection of CIONO₂. The series H₃O⁺(H₂O)_n can be considered the starting point for much of the positive ion chemistry of the lower atmosphere, with the $n = 3$ or higher species being by far the predominant ions [Ferguson and Arnold, 1981]. The present results show that CIONO₂ does not react with H₃O⁺(H₂O) _{n ≥2}. Essentially, all other positive ions in the lower atmosphere are more stable than H₃O⁺(H₂O)_n and will presumably also be unreactive toward CIONO₂. Therefore the positive ion chemistry of the atmosphere is essentially unaffected by the presence of CIONO₂.

The most important ambient negative ions are the series NO₃⁻(HNO₃)_n with $n = 1$ to 3 being the most abundant [Viggiano and Arnold, 1983]. Above 30 km, the series HSO₄⁻(H₂SO₄)_m(HNO₃)_n also becomes important. The present results show that NO₃⁻(HNO₃) does not react ap-

Table 1. Rate Constants for Reactions Involving CIONO₂

Reaction	Rate Constant, cm ³ s ⁻¹	Temperature, K
(1) NO ₃ ⁻ + CIONO ₂ + H ₂ → NO ₃ ⁻ (CIONO ₂) + H ₂	3.0 (-10) ^a	232
(2) CO ₃ ⁻ + CIONO ₂ → NO ₃ ⁻ + ClO + CO ₂	2.1 (-9)	232
(3) NO ₃ ⁻ (HNO ₃) + CIONO ₂ → products ^b	<2 (-11) ^b	232
(4) NO ₃ ⁻ (H ₂ O) + CIONO ₂ → NO ₃ ⁻ (CIONO ₂) + H ₂ O	1.8 (-9)	232
(5) NO ₃ ⁻ (CIONO ₂) + H ₂ O → products	n.r. ^c	283
(6) NO ₃ ⁻ (CIONO ₂) + HNO ₃ → NO ₃ ⁻ (HNO ₃) + CIONO ₂	1.1 (-9)	283
(7) NO ₃ ⁻ (CIONO ₂) + HCl → NO ₃ ⁻ (HNO ₃) + Cl ₂ (94%) → NO ₃ ⁻ (HCl) + CIONO ₂ (6%)	1.5 (-9)	283
(8) NO ₃ ⁻ (HCl) + CIONO ₂ → NO ₃ ⁻ (HNO ₃) + Cl ₂ (98%) ^d → NO ₃ ⁻ (CIONO ₂) + HCl (2%)	1.8 (-9)	233
(9) H ₃ O ⁺ + CIONO ₂ → H ⁺ · CIONO ₂ + H ₂ O (31%) ^{e,f} → NO ₂ ⁺ + H ₂ OHOCl (6%) ^{e,f} → NO ₂ ⁺ (H ₂ O) + HOCl (63%) ^e	2.9 (-9)	233
(10) H ₃ O ⁺ (H ₂ O) + CIONO ₂ → products ^g	<1.3 (-10) ^g	233
(11) H ₃ O ⁺ (H ₂ O) ₂ + CIONO ₂ → products	n.r. ^c	233
(12) H ₃ O ⁺ (H ₂ O) ₃ + CIONO ₂ → products	n.r. ^c	233

^a3.0 (-10) means 3×10^{-10} .^bNo product observed.^cNo reaction, no decline in reactant ion signal.^dEstimated effect of HNO₃ impurity is less than 1 percentage point.^eBranching ratio not corrected for HNO₃ impurity, correction will increase H⁺ · CIONO₂ with respect to the other products.^fNeutral product is written as a dimer since monomer formation is endothermic by 0.4 eV. Dimer bond strength is unknown but formation should make the reaction close to thermoneutral.^gHNO₃ impurity could account for the reactivity.

preciable with CIONO₂, and it is therefore expected that the higher clusters are unreactive as well. The more stable ions HSO₄⁻(H₂SO₄)_m(HNO₃)_n also are not expected to react.

CO₃⁻ and CO₃⁻(H₂O) have been used successfully to monitor the concentrations of NO, NO₂, HNO₂, and HNO₃ [Arnold *et al.*, 1992]. Here we find that CO₃⁻ reacts rapidly with CIONO₂ to form NO₃⁻. However, this is not a useful reaction for detecting the presence of CIONO₂ since NO, NO₂, N₂O₅, and HNO₃ also form NO₃⁻ from reaction with CO₃⁻ [Ikezoe *et al.*, 1987]. In fact, the reaction of CIONO₂ with CO₃⁻ may obscure or complicate the detection of some of these other species when CIONO₂ concentrations are comparable to that of the nitrogen oxide species of interest.

Another series of ions that is easy to create in active ion source measurements is NO₃⁻ and its hydrates, NO₃⁻(H₂O)_n, particularly the first hydrate (where n = 1). The present results show that both NO₃⁻ and NO₃⁻(H₂O) react with CIONO₂ to form NO₃⁻(CIONO₂). These reactions each form an ion, which can be uniquely connected with the presence of CIONO₂, an important condition for the accurate determination of atmospheric concentrations. The clustering rate between NO₃⁻ and CIONO₂ is relatively slow and would appear to reduce the sensitivity of using this reaction as a CIONO₂ detector. One must note, however, that our results refer to a pressure of only 0.2 torr, while the region of interest for atmospheric detection of CIONO₂, 10–25 km, has a pressure of ~20 – 200 torr. One expects that this reaction will saturate under atmospheric conditions and cluster at approximately the collision rate, that is, the rate constant will be the same as that for NO₃⁻(H₂O). Direct measurements of this rate constant in a high-pressure apparatus

are needed to verify this assumption. In summary, NO₃⁻(CIONO₂) should be formed very rapidly by both NO₃⁻ and NO₃⁻(H₂O) under atmospheric conditions.

While this scheme includes a rapid means of forming an ion species that can be attributed unambiguously to CIONO₂, there are potentially interfering reactions. Fortunately, H₂O does not react with NO₃⁻(CIONO₂). If this reaction were to proceed, the large abundance of H₂O in the atmosphere would ensure that NO₃⁻(CIONO₂) would be lost before it could be detected. There are nevertheless several reactions that could interfere with this detection scheme. HNO₃ switches CIONO₂ out of NO₃⁻(CIONO₂) rapidly to form NO₃⁻(HNO₃). HCl reacts with NO₃⁻(CIONO₂) to form NO₃⁻(HCl). This last reaction and the reaction of NO₃⁻(HCl) with CIONO₂ are analogous to the neutral heterogeneous reaction of CIONO₂ with HCl which is important in the mechanism of stratospheric ozone loss in the polar winter. These reactions are discussed in detail in a separate publication [Van Doren *et al.*, 1994].

The ion chemical detection scheme derived from our measurements for determining the concentration of ambient CIONO₂ is as follows. A chemical ionization source is set up to produce NO₃⁻ and NO₃⁻(H₂O) as the primary ions. These ions are injected into a flow reactor connected to a mass spectrometer. In the flow tube, reactions (1) and (4) both produce NO₃⁻(CIONO₂). The rate constants for both of these reactions are expected to be large at stratospheric temperatures and pressures and for the present purposes can be assumed to be equal to the rate constant for reaction (4). Total depletion of the NO₃⁻ and NO₃⁻(H₂O) primary ions must be kept small, that is, less than 10%. This ensures that

no appreciable secondary reaction of NO_3^- (ClONO_2) will occur; that is, the derived concentration will not be affected by secondary reactions by more than 10%. For low total depletion of primary ions, the concentration of ClONO_2 is given as

$$[\text{ClONO}_2] = \frac{[\text{NO}_3^-(\text{ClONO}_2)]}{([\text{NO}_3^-] + [\text{NO}_3^-(\text{H}_2\text{O})]) * 1.8(-9)*t}, \quad (13)$$

where t is the reaction time in the flow tube and the ClONO_2 concentration is in the units of molecule cm^{-3} . It should be noted that only relative ion signals need to be measured.

The concentration detection limit of this scheme can be estimated as follows: The minimum ion ratio that can be detected in mass spectrometers of the type used for *in situ* atmospheric ion detection by the Arnold and Eisele groups is on the order of 10^{-4} . Typical reaction times in these instruments are 10 ms [Viggiano, 1993]. These parameters yield a detection limit of 10^7 molecules cm^{-3} . This estimate has been confirmed by F. Arnold (private communication, 1993) currently the only active researcher flying the type of instrument needed for these measurements. Estimated ClONO_2 concentrations in the atmosphere are in the part per billion range, or 4×10^9 molecules cm^{-3} at 15 km. The minimum detection limit of the detection scheme presented greatly exceeds that needed to make measurements of typical concentrations of atmospheric ClONO_2 and indicates that accurate measurements can be made under conditions where the ClONO_2 concentration is extremely small. When ClO concentrations are comparable to or greater than ClONO_2 concentrations, it may also be possible to detect ClO by this technique by titrating the ClO with NO_2 .

In addition to the direct determination of atmospheric ClONO_2 concentrations, this method is likely to provide simultaneous determinations of the concentrations of other important species such as HCl , HOCl , HNO_3 , HNO_2 , and H_2SO_4 . Such determinations are possible because most acids cluster to NO_3^- and switch H_2O out of NO_3^- (H_2O) [Ikezoe *et al.*, 1987]. The acids HNO_3 , HCl , and HNO_2 have been measured by this or similar techniques previously [Viggiano, 1993]. For several of these species the rate constants needed are not known, and future work will focus on measuring the pertinent rate coefficients. The most accurate measurements would involve in-flight calibration using controlled releases of the compounds of interest into the flow tube. Such calibrations are currently possible.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Steve Lloyd and Jim Anderson for supplying the ClONO₂. We also thank Frank Arnold, Ross Salawitch, Eric Hintse, Mark Zahniser, Randy May, David Hanson, and Carl Howard for helpful discussions and encouragement.

References

Arnold, F., et al., Measurements of jet aircraft emissions at cruise altitude, I, The odd nitrogen gases NO, NO₂, HNO₂, and HNO₃, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **19**, 2421, 1992.

Ferguson, E. E., and F. Arnold, Ion chemistry of the stratosphere, *Accounts Chem. Res.*, **14**, 327, 1981.

Ikezoe, Y., et al. Gas Phase Ion-Molecule Reaction Rate Constants Through 1986, Maruzen Company, Ltd., Tokyo, 1987.

Keesee, R. G., and A. W. Castleman, Jr., Thermochemical data on gas-phase ion-molecule association and clustering reactions, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, **15**, 1011, 1986.

Lloyd, S. A., Issues in stratospheric ozone depletion, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard Univ., Cambridge, Mass., 1993.

Miller, R. H., et al., Infrared spectra of isotopic halogen nitrates, *Spectrochim. Acta*, **23A**, 223–236, 1967.

Raper, O. F., et al., Infrared spectroscopic measurements of halogenated sink and reservoir gases in the stratosphere with the ATMOS instrument, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **92**, 9851, 1987.

Rinsland, C. P., et al., Tentative identification of the 780-cm⁻¹ v₄ band Q branch of chlorine nitrate in high resolution solar absorption spectra of the stratosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **90**, 7931, 1985.

Rodriguez, J. M., Probing stratospheric ozone, *Science*, **261**, 1128, 1993.

Salawitch, R. J., et al., Chemical loss of ozone in the arctic polar vortex in the winter of 1991–1992, *Science*, **261**, 1146, 1993.

Shack, C. J., A new synthesis for chlorine nitrate, *Inorg. Chem.*, **6**, 1938, 1967.

Smith, D., and N. G. Adams, The selected ion flow tube (SIFT): Studies of ion-neutral reactions, *Adv. At. Molec. Phys.*, **24**, 1, 1988.

Toon, O., et al., Heterogeneous reaction probabilities, solubilities, and the physical state of cold volcanic aerosols, *Science*, **261**, 1136, 1993.

Van Doren, J. M., et al., Rate enhancement of the reactions of HCl with ClONO₂ and H₂O with ClONO₂ by ions: Implications for the heterogeneous reactions important in the stratosphere, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, in press, 1994.

Viggiano, A. A., Three-body ion-molecule association rate coefficients as a function of temperature and cluster size: NO₃⁻(HNO₃)_n + HCl + M → NO₃⁻(HNO₃)_n(HCl) + M, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **81**, 2639, 1984.

Viggiano, A. A., In situ mass spectrometry and ion chemistry in the stratosphere and troposphere, *Mass Spectrom. Rev.*, **12**, 115, 1993.

Viggiano, A. A. and F. Arnold, Stratospheric negative ions—Detailed height profiles, *Planet. Space. Sci.*, **31**, 813, 1983.

Viggiano, A. A., et al., Kinetic energy, temperature, and derived rotational temperature dependences for the reaction of Kr⁺(²P_{3/2}) and Ar⁺ with HCl, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **93**, 1149, 1990.

Webster, C. R., et al., Chlorine chemistry on polar stratospheric cloud particles in the arctic winter, *Science*, **261**, 1130, 1993.

R. A. Morris and A. A. Viggiano, Phillips Laboratory, Geophysics Directorate, Ionospheric Effects Division, 29 Randolph Road, Hanscom Air Force Base, MA 01731-3010.

J. M. Van Doren, Department of Chemistry, College of the Holy Cross, 1 Cross Street, Worcester, MA 01610-2395.

Gross, 1 Gross Street, Worcester, MA 01608 23931

(Received September 24, 1993; revised February 8, 1994;
accepted February 8, 1994.)

Accession For			
NTIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CRA&I			
DTIC	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
TAB			
Unannounced			
Justification			
By			
Distribution /			
Availability Codes			
Dist	Avail and / or Special		
A-1	200		